

UNITED STATE! PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/925,443	08/09/2001	Mary Louise Parker	515265-2001	4098
	20999	7590 08/21/2003			
FROMMER LAWRENCE & H			EXAMINER		
	745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			COLLINS, DOLORES R	
			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3711	1.
				DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003	(O)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			"				
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
÷ ,		09/925,443	PARKER, MARY LOUISE				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dolores R. Collins	3711				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J	<u>lune 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	9 .				
3) <u> </u>	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
•	ion of Claims Claim(a) 1 22 26 20 and 47 55 inforcementing	in the application					
4)[4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22,36-39 and 47-55</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5\⊠	,	WIT ITOTTI CONSIDERATION.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,14-22 and 36-39</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-13 and 47-55</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 	Claim(s) 10-13 and 47-33 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) 	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement					
ترن Applicat	ion Papers	r diodion roquironicina.					
9)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachmer	nt(s)						
2) 🛛 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>7</u>	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and	Frademark Office						

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - Claims 10-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Holt in view of Mulholland and further in view of D'Aurora et al.

Holt discloses an Ash Tray.

Regarding claim 10

Holt teaches a circular structure with upper and lower surfaces that rotate relative to each other (see claim 1 and figure 1). Holt fails to teach a plate. Mulholland

Art Unit: 3711

discloses a Paper Dish. Mulholland teaches a plate. It would be obvious in view of Mulholland to use a plate. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations.

D'Aurora et al. is cited to show that the use of grommets or eyelet arrangements (see col. 3, lines 63-65 7 figure 2, "28") to secure rotating surfaces (with upper and lower portions) are well known in the art.

Regarding claims 11 & 12

Holt clearly teaches the limitations of these claims (see figure 1).

 Claims 47-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miller.

Miller discloses a Coaster. His coaster is clearly a conversationware piece, which overcomes the limitations of claims 47-53 (see figures 1-5 and col. 2, lines 6-60 and claim 1).

Regarding claim 54

Tabs used as handles are known and would present little or no difficulty to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claim 55

Scratchable opaque surfaces as cover members are well known in the art (e.g. lottery tickets).

Art Unit: 3711

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-9, 14-22 & 36-39 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Patentability has been found because the prior art fails to suggest or show the combination as set forth in the independent claims 1, 4, 7, 14, 18 & 36 including a plate for generating conversation coupled with axial perforations and removable tabs as well as "an adhesive positioned on an underside of said tab; whereby when said tab is folded under said raised side surface said tab is fixed to the underside of said raised surface." This requirement is not seen or fairly suggested by the prior art of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 3711

Conclusion

Page 5

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Johnson, Nalle, Laske, Jr., Schneider, Smith et al., Stubbmann & Ervin are cited to show the state of art with respect to features of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Dolores R. Collins* whose telephone number is *(703) 308-8352*. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 A.M. - 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *BENJAMIN LAYNO* can be reached on *(703) 308-1815*. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are *(703) 305-3579* for regular communications and *(703) 305-3579* for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is *(703) 308-1148*.

August 6, 2003

Benjamin H. Layno Primary Examiner